Efficacy of Preemptive Analgesia on Postoperative Pain Control in Children Who Underwent Full-Mouth Dental Rehabilitation Under General Anesthesia: A Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial
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Aims: To evaluate the efficacy of intravenous preemptive analgesia on postoperative pain in children undergoing dental rehabilitation under general anesthesia. Methods: In this prospective randomized clinical trial, 70 children aged 3 to 7 years were scheduled for dental treatment and randomized into two groups: the control group or the preemptive group. Patients received 15 mg/kg of intravenous paracetamol either before the start of treatment (preemptive group, n = 35) or at the end of treatment (control group, n = 35). Postoperative pain scores were recorded at 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, and 24 hours using the Wong-Baker FACES Pain Rating Scale (WBFS). Additionally, the need for rescue analgesic and the total opioid consumption of the patients were recorded during the first 24 hours postoperative. Results: The pain scores in the preemptive group were significantly lower than those in the control group at the postanesthesia care unit and at 2, 4, and 8 hours postoperative ($P < .05$). However, there were no statistically significant differences in pain scores between groups at 12 and 24 hours postoperative. Need for rescue analgesics and total intravenous fentanyl consumption were significantly higher in the control group than in the preemptive group ($P < .05$). The percentage of children who received medication for pain relief at home was higher in the control group than in the preemptive group, but the difference was not statistically significant ($P > .05$). Conclusion: Preemptive use of intravenous paracetamol reduces postoperative pain scores and postoperative opioid consumption. However, there is a need to evaluate pain levels in children who receive comprehensive dental treatment under general anesthesia after hospital discharge for effective postoperative pain control. J Oral Facial Pain Headache 2021;35:297–302. doi: 10.11607/ofph.2960
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Dental general anesthesia (DGA) is an outpatient procedure that is frequently used by pediatric dentists to provide dental care for young children who show limited cooperation for complicated dental procedures in routine clinical settings.1 DGA provides a satisfactory clinical environment for performing high-quality dental treatment that can be completed in a single treatment session.1 Many studies have shown that DGA highly improves oral health–related quality of life (OHRQoL) and body growth in young children.2–4 Despite the many advantages of general anesthesia, such as providing safe, painless, and efficient dental care for young children, the anesthetic procedure does have some morbidity and mortality.

Postoperative pain remains the most common morbidity during recovery after dental treatment procedures under general anesthesia.5 Effective postoperative pain management may be beneficial for decreasing adverse outcomes such as chronic pain, increased recovery time, and health care costs.6 There have been many efforts to control postoperative pain, such as using systemic analgesics during and after the operation and intraoperative topical and local analgesic usage in children.7,8 Opioid and nonopioid analgesics are the most frequently used agents for postoperative pain control in pediatric patients. They are usually administered orally and intravenously on a per-demand basis or through patient-controlled analgesia.6
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Preemptive analgesia is a postoperative pain control method defined as an antinoceptive approach that starts before surgery and prevents the establishment of central sensitization caused by incisional and inflammatory injuries.6 Many studies have evaluated the efficacy of preemptive analgesia on postoperative pain in adults and children in various fields of medicine.6,9,10 However, there are limited data on preemptive analgesia for postoperative pain control in children receiving comprehensive dental treatment under general anesthesia.6

Paracetamol, also known as acetaminophen, is a nonopioid agent that acts primarily on the central nervous system by inhibiting central cyclooxygenase (COX) in the brain, which is highly selective for COX-2 and likely acts through indirect serotonergic pathways.11 The intravenous (IV) formulation of paracetamol is commonly prescribed in pediatrics and widely used as an antipyretic and analgesic agent for the control of fever and acute pain in children. The onset of analgesia and antipyretic action with IV paracetamol is 15 and 30 minutes, respectively.12 Furthermore, studies have been conducted on the efficacy of paracetamol administered before the start of surgery for postoperative pain control. Kharouba et al8 reported that administration of preemptive IV paracetamol resulted in lower pain scores, decreased the need for pain-relieving medications at home, and reduced opioid consumption at the hospital. Contrarily, Song et al6 determined that preemptive analgesia using IV paracetamol analgesia with fentanyl provided no advantage for postoperative pain control after corrective osteotomy in pediatric patients.

There is no consensus in the literature regarding the management of postoperative pain in pediatric patients undergoing various types of surgical procedures. The demand for comprehensive dental treatment under general anesthesia for uncooperative children is increasing day by day.13–16 Numerous painful dental procedures, including extraction, pulpectomy, and placement of stainless steel crowns, are performed in patients in a single session.5 Thus, it is important to establish a protocol to effectively control postoperative pain in these patients. For this reason, the present study was conducted (1) to assess the efficacy of preemptive IV analgesia for postoperative pain control in children receiving comprehensive dental treatment under general anesthesia and (2) to determine the postoperative analgesic need at hospitals and homes in the same patient population.

Materials and Methods

Sample Size
A power analysis was performed a priori based on a previous study6 to determine the minimum sample size. Assuming an α error of .05, a power of 80%, and a dropout rate of 10%, the required sample size was 35 for each group.

Subjects and Clinical Examinations
This randomized controlled clinical study was approved by the İnönü University ethical committee (2019/18) and conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was explained to the children’s parents, and written informed consent was obtained. The study was registered (Protocol Registration Receipt NCT03852602) at http://www.clinicaltrial.gov. Ninety children were evaluated for eligibility. All children were examined by the same pediatric dentist (S.K.) considering the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria were as follows: aged between 3 and 7 years; no cooperation with the pediatric dentist according to the Frankl behavior rating scale16 in the dental clinic; and having a need for comprehensive dental treatment including at least one tooth extraction and/or vital endodontic therapy, fillings, stainless steel crowns, space maintainers, or preventive care. The exclusion criteria were: having any other known systemic chronic diseases; allergy to opioid analgesics or general anesthetics; postoperative intensive care unit admission; and renal or hepatic insufficiency.

Twenty of the children were not eligible, and so 70 children participated in this study at the Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Aydın Adnan Menderes University, Aydın, Turkey, between February and December 2019.

Study Design

Randomization. Patients were randomized into the control group or the preemptive group via computer-generated randomization. Group allocation was performed using sequentially numbered, opaque, and sealed envelopes. Each envelope included the group allocation and the instructions for the anesthetist in the operating room. All patients underwent the same anesthesia protocol with the same anesthetist (O.K.).

The preemptive group received 15 mg/kg IV paracetamol (Paracerol, Polifarma) in 50 mL of saline 15 minutes before the start of the dental treatment. The control group received 15 mg/kg IV paracetamol in 50 mL of saline at the end of the dental treatment. All analgesic drugs used in the study were administered by a staff nurse who was not assigned to the postanesthesia care unit.
**General Anesthesia Procedure**
All patients in the study group were intubated by the same blinded anesthetist, who has more than 10 years of experience (O.K.). Anesthesia induction was carried out via a face mask with 8% sevoflurane in 100% oxygen, and an IV line was established through which propofol 1% (Propofol-Lipuro, B. Braun Melsungen) 2 mg/kg; fentanyl (Talinat, VEM) 1 µg/kg; and rocuronium (Myocron, VEM) 0.5 mg/kg were administered via nasotracheal intubation. Patients were monitored during the operation with an anesthesia monitor (Dräger Fabius Plus, Dräger). Anesthesia was maintained with sevoflurane 2% in a mixture of 50% oxygen and nitrous oxide. Patients were extubated and transferred to the postanesthesia care unit (PACU) when they were fully awake.

**Dental Treatment Procedure**
All dental procedures were performed by the same pediatric dentist (S.K.) during the same treatment session. Decayed teeth underwent either restorative (compomer resin, composite resin) or endodontic (pulpotomy, pulpectomy) procedures. Teeth that could not be restored were then extracted. The decayed, missing, and filled teeth index (DMFT index) of the patients, duration of the dental operation, and duration of anesthesia were recorded.

**Postoperative Pain Evaluation**
The patients’ pain levels were assessed using the Wong-Baker FACES scale,
which has been validated for children aged 3 to 7 years. This scale includes six cartoon faces corresponding to scores 0 to 5 (0 = does not hurt; 1 = hurts a little bit; 2 = hurts a little more; 3 = hurts even more; 4 = hurts a whole lot; 5 = hurts worst). Pain levels were recorded upon arrival at the PACU and at 2, 4, 8, 12, and 24 hours postoperative by a blinded investigator (O.K.). In case the patient’s postoperative pain score was ≥ 2 (moderate to severe pain), the patient was medicated with IV fentanyl (1 µg/kg), which was recorded as a need for rescue analgesic.

Children were discharged from the hospital when their Steward discharge score, which evaluates consciousness, airway, and movements, was ≥ 6. The parents were asked to grade their satisfaction levels with their child’s recovery from 0 to 10 (0 = very dissatisfied; 10 = very satisfied).

Parents were instructed how to use the Wong-Baker FACES scale, when to administer pain medication with oral paracetamol, and to record pain scores and medication administration time on a chart constructed for this study.

The parents were asked about side effects during the postoperative period at home. Data after discharge from the hospital were obtained from parents via phone calls by a researcher who was blinded to the study groups (P.D.).

**Statistical Analysis**
Continuous variables were tested for normality using Shapiro-Wilk test. Normally distributed data were expressed as mean ± SD and analyzed using Student t test or a repeated-measures general linear model. Categorical variables are presented as numbers or percentages and were analyzed using chi-square test. All statistical analyses were performed at a significance level of P < .05 using SPSS software version 20.0 (IBM).

**Results**

**Demographic and Perioperative Characteristics**
Seventy children aged between 3 and 7 years (35 children in each group) were enrolled in this study. There was no loss to follow-up or adverse events during the study period (Fig 1). There were no statistically significant differences between the demographic and perioperative clinical variables (total intraoperative IV paracetamol and fentanyl) of the groups (P > .05; Table 1). The recovery times and dmft values of the groups were comparable (P > .05; Table 1). Additionally, there were no statistically significant differences between groups in terms of the dental procedures performed (P > .05) or the scores for satisfaction with their child’s recovery given by parents (P > .05).

**Postoperative Pain Assessment**
The pain scores in the preemptive group were significantly lower than those in the control group upon arrival at the PACU and at 2, 4, and 8 hours postoperative (P < .05; Table 2). There were no statistically significant differences in pain scores between the groups at 12 and 24 hours postoperative at home.

**Analgesic Requirements at Hospital and Home**
The need for rescue analgesic was significantly lower in the preemptive group than in the control group at the hospital (11.4% vs 34.3%, P < .05; Table 2).

There were significant differences between the preemptive group and the control group in terms of total IV fentanyl consumption during the hospital stay (2.14 ± 0.50 vs 5.65 ± 8.05 µg/kg, P < .05; Table 2). Furthermore, there was a statistically significant difference between the two groups in terms of the time until the first fentanyl administration in the hospital (51.05 ± 17.7 vs 61.88 ± 19.32 minutes for control vs preemptive, respectively, P < .05; Table 2). The number of patients who received analgesic medication at home was lower in the preemptive group than...
Table 1 Comparison of Demographic Data and Perioperative Clinical Variables Between Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control (C)</th>
<th>Preemptive (P)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>4.87 ± 1.59</td>
<td>4.72 ± 1.51</td>
<td>0.729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>18.41 ± 6.29</td>
<td>18.80 ± 5.67</td>
<td>0.791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male/female), n</td>
<td>23/12</td>
<td>21/14</td>
<td>0.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA classification (I/II), n</td>
<td>28/7</td>
<td>30/5</td>
<td>0.752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraoperative IV paracetamol (mg)</td>
<td>273.33 ± 66.24</td>
<td>282.0 ± 85.07</td>
<td>0.693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraoperative IV fentanyl (µg)</td>
<td>20.58 ± 5.02</td>
<td>28.84 ± 44.41</td>
<td>0.370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of operation (min)</td>
<td>63.91 ± 18.46</td>
<td>63.04 ± 25.11</td>
<td>0.890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery time (min)</td>
<td>10.20 ± 2.34</td>
<td>9.72 ± 1.98</td>
<td>0.435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dmft</td>
<td>11.83 ± 3.22</td>
<td>11.73 ± 3.30</td>
<td>0.924</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are reported as mean ± SD unless otherwise indicated. ASA = American Society of Anesthesiologists; dmft: decayed, missing, filled teeth; IV = intravenous.

Table 2 Comparison of Postoperative Pain Scores, Postoperative Pain Management Data, and Parental Satisfaction Levels Between Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control (C)</th>
<th>Preemptive (P)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative pain scores</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upon arrival in PACU</td>
<td>1.08 ± 1.34</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.54</td>
<td>.008*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 h</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.82</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.01</td>
<td>.027*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 h</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.70</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.27</td>
<td>.020*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 h</td>
<td>0.70 ± 1.12</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.40</td>
<td>.030*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 h</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.82</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.20</td>
<td>.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.76</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.50</td>
<td>.309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need for rescue analgesic, n (%)</td>
<td>12 (33.3)</td>
<td>4 (11.4)</td>
<td>.044*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total IV fentanyl in hospital, µg/kg</td>
<td>5.65 ± 8.05</td>
<td>2.14 ± 6.50</td>
<td>.044*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to first fentanyl in hospital, min</td>
<td>51.05 ± 17.7</td>
<td>61.88 ± 19.32</td>
<td>.017*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need for analgesic at home, n (%)</td>
<td>17 (48.6)</td>
<td>9 (25.7)</td>
<td>.082*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent satisfaction level (0–10)</td>
<td>8.94 ± 1.37</td>
<td>9.02 ± 1.04</td>
<td>.769</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are reported as mean ± SD unless otherwise indicated. Significant values are indicated in bold. PACU = postoperative care unit; IV = intravenous.

Discussion

This prospective randomized controlled clinical trial evaluated the effect of preemptive analgesia on postoperative pain scores, need for rescue analgesic, and need for analgesic at home in children who underwent full-mouth dental rehabilitation under general anesthesia. Children who received 15 mg/kg IV paracetamol before dental treatment demonstrated significantly lower pain scores up to 8 hours postoperatively compared to the control group, but not at 12 and 24 hours postoperatively. Need for rescue analgesic was significantly higher in the control group than in the preemptive group. Additionally, need for analgesic at home during the postoperative 24 hours was not significantly different between groups.

In the literature, there is a wide range of variability in pain scores reported after dental treatment in children. The discrepancies between the studies may be due to the different study designs, such as pain assessment, type of dental procedure, age, medical status of children, and use/non-use of local anesthesia. Kharouba et al reported that 27.6% of the children in their preemptive group experienced moderate pain (≥ 2; according to WBFS) after dental rehabilitation; however, the percentage of children who experienced a moderate degree of pain intensity in the present study was lower (11.4% in the preemptive group). These differences between the pain scores of the studies may have resulted from the use of local anesthesia and the different dental treatment procedures performed under general anesthesia. In the study group of the present study, children received at least one painful dental procedure, such as tooth extraction and/or vital endodontic therapy, fillings, and stain-
less steel crowns, and local anesthesia was administered before the painful dental procedures. The use of local anesthesia might have contributed to reducing the postoperative pain intensity in the early postoperative period, which is different from the study by Kharouba et al. Furthermore, Kharouba et al performed only restorative or preventive procedures, in contrast to the present study. Keles and Kocaturk compared the postoperative pain scores of children who received only restorative treatment and those who received at least one primary molar pulpotomy under general anesthesia and reported that the postoperative pain scores were significantly higher in the children who received pulpotomy treatment.

Opioids are the most commonly used drugs for the control of moderate to severe pain at any age. They provide good pain relief with a wide confidence interval and should be used with caution in children younger than 2 months due to a high risk of respiratory depression. It is possible to administer opioids intramuscularly, intravenously, or subcutaneously in children. The IV route is the most commonly used; however, opioids have side effects such as nausea, vomiting, urinary retention, hallucinations, somnolence, dizziness, and intestinal obstruction. Considering these side effects, there are many efforts to decrease opioid consumption in children. In the present study, 1 µg/kg IV fentanyl was used as the rescue analgesic for moderate to severe postoperative pain.

Studies evaluating the effect of preemptive analgesia on opioid consumption concluded that total consumption of analgesics was a better parameter than the time to first rescue analgesic requirement. In the present study, both parameters were evaluated, as well as the analgesic requirements of the groups at home. Opioid consumption in the preemptive group was significantly lower than in the control group. Moreover, the time to rescue analgesic was longer in the preemptive group, which is in agreement with previous studies. However, the percentage of children medicated with an analgesic at home was higher in the control group, although there was no statistically significant difference (48.6% in the control group vs 25.7% in the preemptive group). Contrary to these results, Cicvaric et al stated that preemptive analgesia with paracetamol infusion was proven to be efficient in terms of postoperative pain control, but did not reduce the overall analgesic administration in children who underwent elective herniorrhaphy. The main reason for the difference between these two studies may be the procedure type conducted under general anesthesia.

Previous studies revealed that parental satisfaction levels related to their child’s treatment periods were affected by parameters such as postoperative pain, emergency delirium, and side effects observed in patients during the follow-ups at the hospital and home. Kocaturk and Keles compared the parental satisfaction levels of children who underwent full-mouth dental rehabilitation under propofol- vs sevoflurane-based anesthesia, and propofol resulted in decreased postoperative pain compared to sevoflurane. The parental satisfaction level was higher in the propofol-based anesthesia group compared to the sevoflurane-based anesthesia group due to the lower emergency delirium and pain scores that were observed for the propofol-based anesthetic technique. Contrary to this literature, parental satisfaction levels in the present study were similar in both groups. This could be attributed to the lower pain scores in both groups and the multimodal pain control strategy, including the combination of local anesthesia with preemptive or preventive analgesia in this study.

This study has some limitations. First, there was no placebo group for comparison to the control and preemptive groups; however, not providing analgesia to some patients would have been unethical. Second, the pain score assessment performed at home relied on parents or caregivers; to overcome this problem, the parents were instructed on how to score the pain, and only parents who could perform this scoring successfully were included.

The present results revealed that preemptive analgesic application might have a significant benefit in the DGA setup. However, this might be different from team to team, and the present results cannot be generalized because there may be individually indicated analgesic needs. The findings of this study require further investigation to clarify the effectiveness of preemptive analgesic in young children receiving comprehensive dental rehabilitation under DGA.

Conclusions

This study suggests that children who received IV paracetamol before the start of dental treatment under general anesthesia required less rescue analgesics at follow-up in the hospital. Moreover, a lower number of children received analgesics at home in the preemptive group compared to the control group, although there was no statistically significant difference. Based on these results, it can be concluded that preemptive analgesia provided a comfortable immediate postoperative period, which is vital during recovery in young children and for their parents and/or caregivers.

Clinical Implications

- Postoperative pain control is an important issue in young children receiving full-mouth dental rehabilitation under general anesthesia.
• Preemptive use of IV paracetamol may provide a comfortable postoperative period for children undergoing comprehensive dental treatment under general anesthesia.
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