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A pioneer in all fields of surgery, Al-Zahrawi conceived and developed innumerable surgical techniques and instruments and, in 1000 CE, published the first surgical encyclopedia, Kitab Al Tasrif (The Method of Medicine), which spanned 30 volumes. For his monumental accomplishments and contributions to surgery, he earned the title Father of Modern Surgery. His way of thinking and his practice of surgery inspired many subsequent surgeons to achieve greatness and provided a beacon of light in the dark ages of Europe. In his many papers and manuals, he describes various operations and procedures that had never before been recorded. He wrote detailed descriptions of many surgical techniques, including cautery and wound management. Some have described him as the first plastic surgeon, notably for his attention to and methods of incision and use of silk thread suture to achieve good cosmesis. He devised about 200 surgical instruments, among them the surgical needle, scalpels, curettes, retractors, spoons, sounds, hooks, rods, and specula.

The street in Córdoba where his house still stands is named Calle Abulcasis in his memory. In 1977, the Spanish Tourist Board commemorated it in his honor with a bronze plaque that reads: “This was the house where lived Abu al-Qasim Al-Zahrawi.”
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The use of dental implants to restore missing teeth has steadily increased over the past three decades. It is perhaps not surprising, then, that the number of implant-related complications has grown as well. Numerous clinical studies involving dental implants have revealed encouraging outcomes; however, there is an element of risk associated with all clinical procedures, and these encouraging results may have given rise to unrealistic expectations. Despite careful planning, there is always a potential for surgical complications. Nevertheless, carrying out routine tasks with care and attention, choosing minimally invasive techniques when indicated, recognizing evidence of a developing problem, and giving prompt attention will reduce postoperative complications.

The successful outcome of any surgical procedure requires attention to a series of patient-related and procedure-dependent parameters. Sound knowledge of surgical anatomy and experience and training in the fundamentals of internal medicine are important prerequisites for predictable implant surgery. Also, adequate presurgical planning, appropriate quality and quantity of available bone, a well-executed surgical technique, good primary stability, a sufficient healing period, and detailed postoperative instructions are all factors that play a vital role in the success of dental implant surgery and osseointegration. Aging, changing health conditions, wear and tear, and inadequate professional maintenance are important variables influencing prognosis.

This book is designed as a self-instruction guide to the diagnosis and management of surgery-related complications and to the development of a protocol that allows for the early detection of potential surgical complications and how to avoid them. It is a well-documented fact that early detection of complications that are amenable to rescue therapies may reverse the fate of a failing implant or bone grafting procedure.

The evidence-based methods of complications management described in this book are not meant to preclude the clinical judgment of experienced clinicians but rather should be applied to either support or prompt them to rethink their chosen methods of therapy on the basis of existing evidence.
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Identifying Preoperative Conditions That Could Lead to Complications

1. Inadequate or Excessive Vertical Restorative Space
2. Inadequate Horizontal Restorative Space
3. Limited Jaw Opening and Interarch Distance
4. Inadequate Alveolar Width for Optimal Buccolingual Positioning
5. Maxillary and Mandibular Tori
Buccolingual angulation

Endosseous root-form implants distribute occlusal loads most effectively when forces are applied in an axial direction. An angulation of 15 degrees or less is considered acceptable. Even natural teeth are not straight, but rather perpendicular to the curve of Wilson, the lateral curve of the occlusal table formed by the inclination of the posterior teeth (Fig 2-1). However, as implant angulation approaches or exceeds 25 degrees, the supporting bone is severely compromised through transmission of occlusal forces (Fig 2-2a). Moreover, if an implant is inclined buccolingually and the prosthetic reconstruction is offset relative to the implant head for improved occlusion and/or esthetics, the inclination will introduce a bending moment on the implant and will lead to a few potential problems.

Off-axis loading

Potential biomechanical problems of an excessive lingual trajectory (see Fig 2-2a) include:

- Restoration fracture
- Retaining screw fracture
- Abutment fracture
- Implant body fracture
- Osseous destruction because of unfavorable loading
- Plaque accumulation under ridge lap pontics

Placement of an overly inclined implant is not an acceptable practice, especially for single-unit restorations. If it is not possible to place an implant with an angulation of 15 degrees or less, the treatment plan should be aborted and the implant placed in a different location, or implant placement should be delayed and the area grafted using techniques such as guided bone regeneration (GBR), block grafting (Fig 2-2b), or ridge splitting, to allow optimum buccolingual angulation (Fig 2-2c).

Fig 2-1  Natural posterior teeth are perpendicular to the curve of Wilson. In order for posterior implants to be aligned with the direction of chewing forces, they should also be positioned perpendicular to the curve of Wilson; however, vertical placement is acceptable because it is a minimal deviation from the direction of chewing forces.

Fig 2-2  (a) Buccal bone resorption does not justify implant placement with severe lingual angulation (ie, greater than 15 degrees), which potentially leads to many problems. (b and c) The appropriate solution is ridge augmentation using a bone grafting procedure to allow proper implant placement.
Mesiodistal angulation

Natural teeth are perpendicular to the curve of Spee, the anteroposterior curve formed by the cusp tips of the posterior teeth (Fig 2-3).

Single implant cases

In single implant cases, excessive mesiodistal angulation should be avoided. The use of an angled abutment can compensate for slight inclinations (Fig 2-4); however, if the inclination is too severe, the implant should be removed and reinserted in a more upright position, either immediately or after a period of osseous healing.

To prevent excessive angulation, the surgeon should evaluate the position of the osteotomy after use of the pilot drill by placing a parallel pin in the pilot hole and taking a radiograph. If the angulation is not satisfactory, a Lindemann side-cutting drill can be used to adjust the angulation before continuing preparation of the implant site (Fig 2-5).

Fig 2-4 (a to i) The implant to replace the missing right lateral incisor was placed with imperfect angulation. However, the mesial inclination is mild, and the use of an angled abutment compensated for the inclination.
As noted in complication 8, the mental foramen may be positioned on the crest of the ridge in a severely resorbed mandible. Care should be taken to protect the mental nerve by placing the crestal incision lingually; however, if the resorption is extensive and the mental foramina cannot be clearly identified on the panoramic radiograph or CT scan, a flapless implant insertion protocol is recommended to avoid damage to the mental nerve or any of its branches. This technique is shown in Fig 2-23.

**Fig 2-23** (a) The panoramic radiograph did not reveal the exact location of the mental foramina in this case. A decision was made to place the implants using a flapless insertion protocol to avoid transecting the mental nerve during incision. (b and c) The alveolar bone within 12 mm on each side of the midline was established as a low-risk area for implant placement. (d) A disposable tissue punch was used to access the crestal bone. (e) A 2.0-mm pilot drill is used to initiate the implant osteotomies. (f) After the use of each drill, a periodontal probe was used to verify that the osteotomy was completely within the alveolar ridge. (g) The implant osteotomy was enlarged as needed. (h) The implants were placed. (i) Healing screws are placed for the two-stage insertion protocol. The patient was treatment planned for a ball-retained overdenture after excessive vertical restorative space was identified. O-ring caps were incorporated into the denture to disengage the ball attachments before vertical cantilever forces became excessive.
It is challenging to place an immediate implant in an ideal position into a socket after extracting a tooth with significant root curvature (Fig 2-24a). The thick palatal or lingual wall of the socket tends to direct the rotating drill toward the thinner buccal plate, placing the osteotomy and, subsequently, the implant in an unfavorable and unesthetic location. Perforation of the buccal wall of the socket may also result.

This difficulty can be overcome using a Lindemann side-cutting drill (Fig 2-24b). The drill should be placed in the socket first, then the motor activated, and a groove cut in the lingual socket wall (Figs 2-24c), facilitating movement of the subsequent implant drills in the appropriate direction for correct osteotomy positioning (Fig 2-24d). This technique is often necessary when placing immediate implants in maxillary anterior and mandibular premolar and anterior sites. Figure 2-25 shows a case of immediate implant surgery in a curved socket.

Fig 2-24  (a) A curved socket presents a challenge for ideal immediate implant placement because the thick palatal/lingual wall of the socket tends to redirect the drill toward the thin buccal plate. (b and c) The use of a Lindemann side-cutting drill enables the creation of a depression or groove in the palatal/lingual side. (d) Cross-sectional view of the redirection of the socket using the Lindemann drill. (e) Clinical view of the groove created by the Lindemann drill. (f) Placement of the implant in the proper direction in a curved socket.

Figs 2-25a to 2-25c  (a) Immediate implant surgery in a curved mandibular premolar socket. (b) A Lindemann drill was used to create a groove in the lingual surface of the alveolus. (c) Subsequent drills are used to further redirect the osteotomy from its natural path down the curved lingual wall of the socket, thus avoiding perforation of the buccal plate and misalignment of the implant.
Before discussing the complications that may occur during the lateral window sinus elevation, it is important to present the surgical protocol that should be followed to minimize the risk of complications.

The lateral window sinus elevation surgical protocol consists of the following eight steps (Fig 4-19):

1. Anesthesia
2. Incision and full-thickness flap reflection
3. Osteotomy and window infracture or removal
4. Sinus membrane elevation
5. Bone graft placement
6. Incision closure
7. Postoperative provisionalization
8. Postoperative instructions and care

The window is outlined (e) and then pushed inward after being completely separated from the surrounding bone (f to i).
Alternatively, the surgeon may elect to remove the bone flap (eg, when the buccolingual dimensions of the sinus are narrow). The bone graft material is placed (l to r), the flap is sutured (s), and the area is left to heal for a period of 4 to 9 months (depending on the volume and type of bone graft materials used) before implant placement (t and u).